

Dear Mr. Dowling,

I write in response to your recent letter regarding the proposed reduction of TACC by 5 tonnes to 5.5 tonnes.

I wish to express my objection to such a proposal in no uncertain terms.

The basis of my opposition is based on the following concerns:

1. Any new information upon which a decision of this magnitude is made needs to be transparent and clearly communicated to all relevant stakeholders.
2. If the recently acquired information is "suggestive" only then surely it stands to reason that more substantive factual information needs to be acquired before taking reducing the TACC by almost half.
3. If independent data is absent, what efforts if any have been undertaken by VFA to obtain this data which is necessary to make an informed decision.
4. The industry has been crippled severely already in the last 18months - has any efforts (forecasting / modelling) been made to understand the economic impact such a decision will have on the industry.
5. By what measure is reducing the TACC by 47.7% considered a measured and proportionate response. How was this figure of a 5 tonne reduction arrived at.
6. What financial compensation or support will be offered to operators and licence holders.

I implore you to reconsider the merits of your proposal and to have a greater understanding of the ramifications of such a decision. I feel it imperative that more detailed analysis be undertaken and the findings be made public for open and robust discussion.

Kind Regards,

Nathan Yim

Viewray Pty Ltd